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Abstract—The cloud environment makes it possible to analyze large data sets in a scalable computing infrastructure. In the bioinformatics field, the applications are composed of the complex workflow tasks, which require huge data storage as well as a computing-intensive parallel workload. Many approaches have been introduced in distributed solutions. However, they focus on static resource provisioning with a batch-processing scheme in a local computing farm and data storage. In the case of a large-scale workflow system, it is inevitable and valuable to outsource the entire or a part of their tasks to public clouds for reducing resource costs. The problems, however, occurred at the transfer time for huge dataset as well as there being an unbalanced completion time of different problem sizes. In this paper, we propose an adaptive resource-provisioning scheme that includes run-time data distribution and collection services for hiding the data transfer time. The proposed adaptive resource-provisioning scheme optimizes the allocation ratio of computing elements to the different datasets in order to minimize the total makespan under resource constraints. We conducted the experiments with a well-known sequence alignment algorithm and the results showed that the proposed scheme is efficient for the cloud environment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

To date, workflow management systems (WMS) in the distributed environment are for scientific applications to solve sophisticated problems such as genomic analysis, drug discovery, disease identification, etc. As scientific applications become more complex, the management of resources that perform the workflow jobs has become one of the challenging issues [1, 2]. Recently many large research centers and universities have conducted the studies on the high per-
formance-computing platform to address the analysis of genomic data. The GNARE [3] project is one of the biggest genomic grid projects, which is conducted by the Argonne National Laboratory. It analyzes the genomic sequence and submits computing-intensive to the grid environment. Similarly the PUMA2 [4] system is an interactive, integrated bioinformatics environment for high-throughput genetic sequence analysis and metabolic reconstructions from sequence data. In addition, a new generation of non-Sanger-based sequencing technologies (next generation sequencing: NGS) has delivered on its promise of sequencing DNA at unprecedented speed, thereby enabling impressive scientific achievements and novel biological applications [5].

Recent advances in cloud computing have made it possible to analyze very large data sets in scalable and cost-effective ways. However, most of them focus on a batch-processing scheme of data stored in a local file system [6]. Even though many solutions give us various distributed methods for analyzing huge data, they focus on static resource provisioning with batch processing scheme in local computing farm and data storage. Since all datasets should be located in the local site before the processing starts, the transfer overhead of a huge amount of input datasets, as well as an unbalanced execution time of different problem size, increases the total completion time.

In this paper, we first describe a distributed bio-workflow broker (DBB) model, which cooperates with different workflow applications. On the DBB, we propose an adaptive resource-provisioning scheme, including run-time data distribution and collection service (DCS), which decouples the data transfer process and data processing processes. The DCS makes it possible to eliminate the transfer time for both the input and output dataset from local storage to cloud data centers by parallelizing the data placement and data processing module. On the DCS platform, the adaptive processing element provisioning problem (APEPP) is proposed to optimize the allocation ratio of computing elements to each dataset in order to minimize the total makespan under resource constraints. For evaluating our proposed scheme, we utilize a well-known algorithm for next generation sequencing tools and a pipelined application, which was used in a 2009 genome research article for the first Korean genome [9].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the workflow that has been integrated with the NGS model and the cloud based sequence alignment service. In Section 3, we propose an adaptive resource-provisioning scheme for the run-time data distribution and collection service. We evaluated our algorithm with six new different sequence alignment datasets and the effectiveness of our proposed model in Section 4. Finally, we make a conclusion in Section 5.

2. WORKFLOW INTEGRATED BIO-COMPUTING ENVIRONMENT

2.1 Integrated Genome Workflow Model

A new generation of non-Sanger-based sequencing technologies have delivered on its promise of sequencing DNA at an unprecedented speed, thereby enabling impressive scientific achievements and novel biological applications [5]. Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of symptoms such as diabetes, obesity, hyperlipidemia, and high blood pressure [1]. However, we are still not really sure whether this type of disease prediction system is trustworthy or not. For the case of complex diseases such as diabetes, we do not know for sure how many SNPs are related and it is absolutely certain that very complex gene-gene or SNP-SNP interactions would be made. Also,
there exists various kinds of sub-types of diabetes; each of which has different genetic and environmental factors. In the bioinformatics technology, the next generation sequencing method which provides the sequence matching between reference data to personal DNA samples, provides a faster mapping algorithm compared to conventional approaches [8]. The complexity of the applications to compose the individual tasks and the data processing capability requires large scale workflow management methodologies and the parallelism for reducing total execution time as shown in Fig.1.

2.2 A Distributed Bio-Workflow Broker on Clouds Computing Environment

To cooperate between workflows in real-time, we describes a conceptual idea of the integrated workflow system. The middle layer includes functions that manage the interaction among different bio-workflow services such as the pressure wave monitoring, the cardiovascular model simulation, and the genome sequencing alignment services that have been implemented in the workflow model. The system could automate the simulation data and the experimental workflow processes. This type of large-scale data analysis workflow model needs a huge size of computing and storage infrastructure for performing overall workflow tasks with an in-house method. However, it is expensive to prepare enough resources and the efficiency of the resources is relatively low since all tasks do not require the same computing capacity. For the hybrid cloud model, it is possible to outsource the entire or a part of the workflow tasks into the public cloud. In this case, on-demand resource provisioning is possible whenever it is needed. We suggest a hybrid cloud model for the workflow-computing model, which has distributed workflow services combined by cloud service model. As shown in Fig. 2, the distributed bio-workflow broker (DBB) system is located in the middle layer between the end user and the cloud service. The DBB functions as the bridge between the bio-services and the cloud data centers, as shown in Fig.2. The DBB stores the bio-service services, such as the SNP analysis for DNA or for the metabolic disease identification system, with both the genome database and the cell metabolism.

Fig. 1 System architecture for integrated genome analysis and cardiovascular simulation workflow
measurement with a unified interface.

To control the workflow execution flow, a task monitoring function is built to identify the task status including submission, execution, and publishing. However, the solutions focus on static resource provisioning with a batch-processing scheme in local computing farm and data storage. Since all datasets should be located in the local site before the processing starts, the transfer time of a huge dataset, as well as an unbalanced execution time of different problem sizes, increases the total completion time. In the following section, we propose the adaptive resource-provisioning scheme for both the data preparation process as well as for the data processing process.

3. ADAPTIVE RESOURCE PROVISIONING ON CLOUDS

3.1 A Continuous Data Distribution and Collection Scheme

We propose to use a data distribution and collection service (DCS) for handling a real-time data analysis platform that has been deployed on cloud computing environment in order to prevent data transfer latencies. Since the DCS stores a small part of the total dataset, it should continuously replenish the input data from the data source. The DCS manages such continuous data streams from the data source to the processing elements (PEs), which are located in remote data centers. Let $\{j=1,2,...,J\}$ be the kind of genome dataset for analyzing the sequence. As shown in Fig. 3 the DCS has finite buffer pairs - an application data buffer (ADB) and a receiver queue (RQ) for each dataset $j$. The ADB is a temporal storage for application input data and the RQ functions as the admission controller for demands from lower level nodes. The upstream queue and downstream queue means the communication channel. Assuming that a data object is a countable processing unit, the buffers in the DCS are modeled as queuing systems for which the arrival and departure processes are the data transfer time and data processing rate.

For each dataset, the stability condition is constrained by:

$$\forall j \in J, \mu_j(t) \geq \lambda_j(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu_j(t)$ and $\lambda_j(t)$ are the data transfer rate and data processing rate for each dataset $j$. 

Fig. 2. Distributed bio-workflow model combined by cloud service
3.2 The Run-time Processing Element Provisioning Algorithm

For all dataset $J$, we describe an adaptive process element provisioning problem (APEPP) under a given set of PEs with the following notations:

The goal of the APEPP is to find the optimal PE distribution ratio $\alpha_j$ on given datasets. This is achieved by equalizing the makespan of each stream $j$ such as:

- Adaptive PE provisioning problem (APEPP):

  \[
  \begin{align*}
  & \text{minimize} & & T[D] \\
  \text{subject to} & & & T[D] = \max_{j \in J} \left[ T[D_j(t)] \right] \\
  & & & \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j = 1
  \end{align*}
  \]

  In order to obtain the APEPP, we utilize the proportional allocation method. Let $\lambda_j(t)$ be the unit processing rate of stream $j$ at time $t$ as:

  \[
  \lambda_j(t) = \frac{\mu_j(t)}{\alpha_j}
  \]

  Table 1. Notations and Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>Available number of PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_j(t)$</td>
<td>Remaining dataset of stream $j$ on time $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_j(t)$</td>
<td>Processing rate of stream $j$ on time $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T[D_j(t)]$</td>
<td>Estimated completion time of the stream $j$ at time $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T[D]$</td>
<td>Total completion time (makespan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_j$</td>
<td>Allocation ratio of PEs for stream $j$,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ \gamma_j(t) = \frac{\lambda_j(t)}{\alpha_j K} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the \( \alpha_j \) means the average allocation ratio of the PEs in stream \( j \). On the time \( t \), then, we can obtain the estimated completion time of each stream by:

\[ T[D_j(t)] = \frac{D_j(t)}{\lambda_j(t)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The estimated completion time is different to the checking point. Using Eq. (3), we can find the newly calculated allocation ratio as being:

\[ \forall \alpha_j, \alpha_j = \frac{T[D_j(t)]}{\sum_{j \in J} T[D_j(t)]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Based on Eq. (4), The APEPP optimizer in the DCS balances the PE allocation ratio. Even though the APEPP algorithm assumes the fixed size of PEs, it is easily applicable to the elastic environment by increasing or decreasing the available PEs in the run-time. As a result, the proposed APEP is presented in Algorithm 1, in which the \( K \) and \( J \) are the total number of PEs and the total number of dataset respectively. In Step 1, it performs the initial allocation ratio \( (\alpha_j) \) by dividing the total PEs into the total dataset such as \( K_j = a_j K \). After finishing the initial allocation of the PEs, it determines the next checkpoint for performing the APEP in the run-time. On the other hand, the APEP algorithm is described in Step 2. When arriving at the check point, the APEP calculates the unit processing rate in Eq. (2), the estimated completion time in Eq. (3), and finally the allocation ratio in Eq.(3) sequentially until all of the data processing is finished.

Algorithm 1. APEP Algorithm

Step. 1 : Initial Scheduling Stage
1. Set \( K \) and \( J \)
2. Allocation ratio for each dataset \( j \), \( a_j \leftarrow 1/J \)
3. The number of PE allocation for each dataset \( j \), \( K_j = a_j \times K \)
4. Allocate \( K_j \) to each dataset \( j \)
5. Set the next check point for APEP
6. Go to the Step 2

Step. 2 : APEP Scheduling Stage
1. If (arrives the check point \&\& the data processing is not completed) then
2. Calculate unit processing rate for each dataset based on (2)
3. Calculate estimated completion time based on (3)
4. Calculate allocation ratio based on (4)
5. Allocate \( K_j \) to each dataset \( j \)
6. Set the next check point for APEP
7. Go to the Step 2
8. Else Exit
EndIf
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1 A Sequencing Alignment Application for Experiment

Fig. 4 shows a pipeline application that was used in a 2009 genome research article. In the figure, the wet-lab work process retrieves the personal genome information and makes format to sequence and the dry-lab work process performs various pipelined jobs such as indexing, alignment, pairing, formatting, and reformattting for extracting the abnormal state in the sequence. We utilize the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) application for the workflow, which is an efficient program that aligns relatively short nucleotide sequences against a long reference sequence such as the human genome. It implements the two algorithms—the bwa-short and the bwa-sw [8]. On the workflow tasks, the align finds the suffix array (SA) coordinates of the input data with reference data. We prepared three different dataset (input data) with two kinds of reference data as shown in Table 2. The size of the input dataset refers to the problem size. We made six cases by combining the two reference data above and three input reads.

![Personal genome analysis workflow](image)

**Table 2. Experimental Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index (dataset)</th>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Total Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>chr22.fa</td>
<td>0.8GB</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset1</td>
<td>R10_75X75_200_s_1_1_sequence.txt</td>
<td>2.01GB</td>
<td>40,658,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset2</td>
<td>R14_75X75_200_s_4_1_sequence.txt</td>
<td>2.11GB</td>
<td>42,423,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset3</td>
<td>R16_75X75_200_s_2_1_sequence.txt</td>
<td>2.63GB</td>
<td>52,789,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset4</td>
<td>R21_s_1_1_sequence.txt</td>
<td>3.48GB</td>
<td>77,500,842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Experimental Environment

As shown in Fig. 5, we utilized a total of 32 PEs using 8 machines with 4 cores (Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU W3520 2.67GHz) and a single data distribution server. For the resource configuration, we conducted two different evaluations to validate the effect of the continuous data distribution scheme and the effect of the adaptive resource-provisioning scheme, respectively.

![Experimental Environment and Resource Configurations](image)

4.3 The Effect of the Continuous Data Distribution Scheme

In the first experiment, we conducted a single dataset (dataset1) and we divide the total sequences (40,658,816) to 262,144 for distributing the dataset. For evaluating the effect of the continuous data distribution scheme we increased the degree of the parallelism as (2,4,8,16,32).

Fig. 6(a) shows the data placement time and the data processing time for each chunk. Even though the numbers of the processors (PEM) increased, the data placement time did not increase,

![Execution Time of Each Chunk](image)

Fig. 6. The Execution time of each chunk and the total completion time of the total dataset with different parallelism
as it is ignorable when compared to the data processing time. In addition, Fig. 6(b) shows the almost linear scalability in accordance with increase in the number of processors (PEMs)

4.4 The Effect of Adaptive Resource Provisioning

The second experiment that we conducted was with 4 different kinds of datasets and we applied three different scheduling policies. We compared the policies, which are listed below.

- Static/fixed provisioning- static allocation with a fixed number of processors.
- Static/proportional provisioning- proportional allocation with the dataset size.
- Adaptive provisioning - re-allocation based on the estimated completion time.

The static/fixed scheduling simply allocates the same number of processing elements. Second, the static/proportional one schedules the data in proportion to the dataset size. Finally, we applied our proposed adaptive scheme. As shown in Fig. 7, the proposed adaptive scheduling scheme shows a small variation in the completion time among all of the datasets, as compared to the other two scheduling policies. For each policy, the largest completion time among all datasets as presented as 41 minutes, 35 minutes, and 28 minutes, respectively. Since the total completion time was determined by the largest completion time. As a result, the proposed adaptive scheduling scheme minimized the total completion time, even though the completion time of R10 and R14 are larger than those of the static/fixed scheme.

![Fig. 7. Comparision of the total completion time for the three different resource-provisioning policies](chart.png)

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a data distribution and collection service (DCS), which decoupled data transfer and data processing procedures. The DCS is possible to eliminate the time to transfer the input and output dataset from the local storage to cloud farms. Particularly, the DCS autonomously regulates the data transfer process by synchronizing the data transfer process and data processing procedure, respectively. In the proposed DCS, we further exploited the adaptive resource-provisioning scheme for optimizing the allocation ratio of the computing elements to the dataset in order to minimize the total makespan under resource constraints. We examined the
experiments with a well-known sequence alignment algorithm and the results showed that the proposed scheme was efficient for the cloud environment.
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